

ORMAP Grant Application

Section I. County and Grant Information			
A. County: Tillamook		B. Funding Cycle: Spring 2010	
C. Project will help meet ORMAP Goal(s): 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 X 5 <input type="checkbox"/> 6 <input type="checkbox"/>		D. Fund Request: \$55,340	
Section II. Summary of Project			Department Assessment
A. Brief Overview of the Request			<input type="checkbox"/> Pass <input type="checkbox"/> Fail
<p>This grant is part five of a multi-year request to integrate new data collected and to improve accuracy of the mapping to meet ORMAP technical Specifications across the whole county. Completion of this grant will add 6000 taxlots and 156 taxmaps and bring Tillamook's completion percentage to 89.5%.</p>			
Scope and Deliverables			
Check	Deliverables	Brief description of the deliverables	
X	Tax Lot Conversion	6000 taxlots that meet ORMAP technical specifications	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tax Map Conversion	156 taxmaps	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Control Points		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Development		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other Assistance		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other Deliverable		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Hardware/Software		
B. Projected Project Completion Date (projects should not exceed one year)			
7/01/10 – 6/30/11			
C. Total Costs of Project (add lines as necessary)			
Deliverable	Number of Items	Cost per Item	Total Cost
Taxlots	6000	\$9.89	\$59,340
D. Partnerships and Contributions (add lines as necessary)			
Partner	Contribution		
Survey Dept	\$4000		
A. Assessor's Signature & Date:	<i>See File Copy</i>		
F. Fiscal Coordinator – Name & Contact Number:	Tim Lutz, 503-842-2400		
G. Project Coordinator – Name & Title:	Wendy Schink, Cartographer		
E-mail address:	wschink@co.tillamook.or.us		

Phone Number:	503-842-3400
Mailing Address:	Tillamook County A&T 201 Laurel Ave. Tillamook, OR 97141

Section III. Detail Project Information –Answer all questions

A. Overview

1. Describe what the project is trying to accomplish.

This project will work to incorporate map changes since the conversion to geodatabase and to ensure all maps meet ORMAP Technical Specifications.

2. What part(s) of the county does this project cover (Township, Range, and Sections, if applicable)?

This project would complete townships 1S10W, 2S10W, 2S11W, 3S10W, 3S11W, and portions of 4S10W, 4S11W, 5S10W and 5S11W.

3. What is the status/outcome of all previously funded ORMAP projects? (Please include funding cycles and a “status map” of your county.)

- ORMAP Grant #904 (summer 2001) – Completed
- ORMAP Grant #1052 (fall 2002) – Completed
- ORMAP Grant #1051 (fall 2002) – Completed
- ORMAP Grant #1124 (fall 2003) – Completed
- ORMAP Grant #1244 (spring 2004) – Completed
- ORMAP Grant #1298 (fall 2004) – Returned to ORMAP
- ORMAP Grant #1299 (fall 2004) – Completed
- ORMAP Grant #1359 (spring 2005) – Completed
- ORMAP Grant #1538 (fall 2005) – Returned to ORMAP
- ORMAP Grant #1858 (fall 2007) – Completed
- ORMAP Grant #2216 (fall 2008) – Completed
- ORMAP Grant #4072 (spring 2009) – Work recently completed, due 6/10


4. Describe, in detail, your technical approach to the project (e.g. mapping methodology).

The cartographer will work through the county, map by map, using ORMAP methodology to incorporate past changes, checking accuracy, and ensuring the new data maintains the look and feel of the original Assessment maps.

Maps for three of the seven incorporated cities and most of the resource lands were completed in the first phase, which covered 270 maps and 8631 taxlots.

The second phase covered the north coast, which includes two incorporated cities, a high density of taxlots, and the highest volume of maintenance mapping requirements. This phase involved 90 maps and 5976 taxlots.

The third phase completed most of the north coast, covering 4300 taxlots and 71 maps.



Phase four was recently completed and includes the unincorporated coastal communities of Netarts and Oceanside, 2520 taxlots and 51 maps (green on map).

5. Describe the project deliverables.

This contract includes the communities of Pacific City, Neskowin and Beaver and most of the central coast of Tillamook County. It would complete 6000 taxlots on 156 maps (pink on map).

6. Who will be doing the work (county staff, contractor, DOR staff, etc.)? Please define their role(s).

The county A&T cartographer will be doing this work with assistance by clerical staff and supervision of the Assessor.

7. How will the county cartographer integrate the deliverables into the County’s maintenance plan?

County A&T cartographer will maintain the tax maps, updating them on an ongoing basis. As new GIS maps are updated and reviewed, the cartographer will use the new maps for A&T purposes. Changes to the standard or schema will be incorporated by the county A&T cartographer after adoption.

8. Provide a project timeline with milestones or completion dates.

Phase 1	8631 taxlots	completed
Phase 2	5976 taxlots	completed
Phase 3	4300 taxlots	completed
Phase 4	2520 taxlots	due 6/30/10
Phase 5	6000 taxlots	
Phase 6	3088 taxlots	

9. Does this project have any partnerships? If yes, please identify them.

The Tillamook County Surveyor’s office is our partner in this project. They will continue to provide control data as requested and through their customary work.

10. Describe any innovations utilized by this project.

Tillamook County follows a standard method for tax parcel digitization. The primary challenge faced in Tillamook County is finding creative methods to manage the workflow to accomplish the project in a timely manner with limited resources and funding.

11. Detail Costs (who is paying for what).

Implementation & QC	Cost	Funder	Funded	Requested Funding
Staff- Carto, clerical, mgt.	\$55,340	ORMAP	\$0	\$55,340
Surveyor	\$4,000	Survey Office	\$4,000	\$0
Totals	\$59,340		\$4,000	\$55,340

B. Quality Control

1. Who will be responsible for quality control (QC)?

County Cartographer and A&T staff

2. Will county cartography staff review the deliverables?

Yes, work will be reviewed by cartographer, assessor, clerical staff and surveyor prior to public release.

3. Will there be a review by Department of Revenue’s cartography staff?

DOR may review deliverables at their discretion.

4. Describe QC procedures.

GIS personnel will ensure compliance with statewide GIS goals and standards using the procedure outlined by the Department of Revenue.

C. Project Detail

1. Is this project an “edge matching project”? If so, how much of the county boundary will be completed?

This is not an edge matching project.

2. Is this project part of an ongoing or multi-phased remapping project?

Yes, this is part 5 of a multi-year project.

Grant #1299 was delivered 3/08.

Grant #1858 was delivered 12/08.

Grant #2216 was delivered 09/09.

Grant #4072 is due 06/10.

This grant will bring us to 89% of taxlots meeting ORMAP Technical Specs.

3. What percentage of the county tax lots and tax maps meet the ORMAP technical specifications?

	Total Countywide	Meet Tech Specs	Percent Complete
Tax Lots	30,323	22,671	75%
Tax Maps	798	543	68%

4. Upon completion of this project will your county meet goal 6 (100% of tax maps meeting technical specification)?

No, after this project we will be at 89%.

5. Is this project part of a multi-county effort? If so, please explain.

No.

6. Will the project cost be affected if it is not fully funding this cycle?

If the project is not fully funded the date for Tillamook County to reach Goal 6 will be delayed.

D. Data Availability

1. Does the county have a data sharing agreement with the State?

Yes, we have a data sharing agreement with DAS.

2. Identify any data restrictions or licensing issues.

Tillamook County Board of Commissioners approved the original data access policy in 2001, and amended that policy in January 2006. This policy allows for a purchase of taxlot and other GIS data with use restrictions spelled out in the Tillamook County GIS Data License Agreement. Data sharing agreements and no-cost data exchanges were also authorized by the policy at the discretion of the Director of the Community Development Department and Board of Commissioners. Many data layers were made available for download from Tillamook County’s web site at no cost. Other ORMAP products, such as aerial imagery are available for download through Tillamook County’s web site or through the Oregon Department of Forestry Tillamook Forest web site and state data clearinghouse.

The main licensing issue that has arisen so far has been redistribution of the taxlot data. The current Data License does not allow for the original data to be redistributed unless it is part of a derived data product produced by legal means.

E. Background Information

Any other information that you feel may help support the project.

County Business Plan is currently on file on the ORMAP web site, updated 03/10.

G. Other Issues - Please identify.

Submit completed forms to:

Mail	Contact Information
ORMAP Project Coordinator Oregon Department of Revenue Property Tax Division - CDOT 955 Center St. NE Salem OR 97301-2555	Tel: 503-586-8128 Fax: 503-945-8737 or.map@state.or.us

Appendix

Appendix A: Approved Hardware and Software Purchase List

The following list shows cost allowances for the purchase of hardware and software products. Past ORMAP projects and vendor price quotes generate the listing. The prices do not reflect a guaranteed price from any product vendors.

You must meet **all** of the following criteria:

- Your software request does not exceed the per-license amounts listed.
- You do not request more than one software license.
- Your hardware request does not exceed the amount listed.
- You do not make more than one request for each type of equipment.

Software			
ESRI – includes first year of maintenance			
Software	Version	License	Price
ArcView	9.x	Single Use	\$1,500
ArcEditor	9.x	Concurrent Use License	\$7,000
ArcInfo	9.x	Floating License	\$9,900
ArcGIS Survey Analyst	--	Concurrent Use Un-keyed	\$2,500
ArcInfo COGO Extension	--	(Floating License)	\$2,500
ArcSDE Allowance	9.x	Workgroup	\$5,000
Intergraph – includes first year of maintenance			
Software	Version	License	Price
GeoMedia Pro	6.x	Node Locked	\$6,745
GeoMedia Pro	6.x	Concurrent	\$8,010
GeoMedia	6.x	Node Locked	\$1,350
GeoMedia	6.x	Concurrent	\$2,250
Parcel Manager	6.x	Node Locked	\$3,600
Parcel Manager	6.x	Concurrent	\$4,320
Transaction Manager	6.x	Node Locked	\$3,600
Transaction Manager	6.x	Concurrent	\$4,320
Hardware			
Equipment		One-time Allotment per County	
Plotter		\$5,400	
Personal Computer		\$2,500	
Server		\$5,000	

Appendix B: ORMAP - Related Statutes

Purpose:

- **ORS 306.135(1)** The Department of Revenue shall develop a base map system to facilitate and improve the administration of the ad valorem tax system.

Funding:

- **ORS 205.323 Additional fees for recording certain instruments; use of fees. (1)** In addition to and not in lieu of the fees charged and collected under ORS 205.320 and other fees, the following fees shall be charged and collected for the recording or filing of any instrument described in ORS 205.130:
(a) A fee of \$1, to be credited as provided in subsection (3) (a) of this section;

And . . .

(3) Have the amounts charged and collected under this section:

- (a) The recording or filing fee charged and collected under subsection (1)(a) of this section shall be deposited and credited to the Oregon Land Information System Fund established under ORS 306.132;**
- **ORS 306.132 Oregon Land Information System Fund (1)** The Oregon Land Information System Fund is created, separate and distinct from the General Fund.

How to use the funds:

- **ORS 306.132(2)** Moneys in the Oregon Land Information System Fund are continuously appropriated to the Department of Revenue for the purpose of funding a base map system to be used in administering the ad valorem property tax system.

Advisory Committee:

- **ORS 306.135(2)** In developing the base map system, the department shall be advised by an advisory committee that is hereby created and that shall be known as the Oregon Land Information System Advisory Committee. The advisory committee shall advise the department concerning the administrative and public needs related to the development of the base map system.
- **ORS 306.135(3)** The advisory committee shall consist of individuals appointed to the committee by the Director of the Department of Revenue.

Appendix C: ORMAP Policy Guidelines

Revised February 2010

The Department of Revenue administers the ORMAP Program within the following policy guidelines.

Current Guidelines

1. Policy Guidance:

The ORMAP Advisory Committee provides policy advice on issues related to establishing the ORMAP vision, fund distribution, goal setting, priority setting, and overall direction of the program. The ORMAP Advisory Committee does not review individual grant proposals unless requested to do so by department staff or the ORMAP Technical Group in order to help the department address a policy issue. Final policy decisions are the responsibility of the Department of Revenue.

2. Funding Process:

- A. The department will announce the projected available funds for the current funding cycle and the projected limits for large and small grants.
- B. Grant applications sent to the department are reviewed using the *Administrative Review Criteria* and *ORMAP Policies* (Appendix 1). Counties are given the opportunity to make timely changes to their grant application and resubmit to correct criteria the county did not meet. An application that does not pass all DOR criteria is not submitted to the technical committee for further review.
- C. Once the department receives the final deposit for the funding cycle, the large grant limit will be set. The department will apply an automatic modification to grants to match the 20% limit, if needed.
- D. The technical committee reviews grant applications that pass the *Administrative Review Criteria*. The committee applies the *Technical Review Criteria* at its first scheduled meeting. Counties are given the opportunity to correct any failed items by timely providing an addendum detailing the changes to the ORMAP coordinator.
- E. The department using the Priority Scoring, awards points to grant applications that pass both the administrative and the technical committee review. The department will award full funding to grants at or below the 3% limit for small grants prior to using the weighted system. The balance of the fund will be available to the remaining grant applicants. Scoring is only required if the grant applications that pass the administrative and tech committee reviews request more funds than are available from the ORMAP project for that funding cycle. The technical committee at its second scheduled meeting reviews scoring. The technical committee will determine that the rule was applied correctly and that the resulting decisions on scoring were applied in an objective way. The technical committee will review any grant addendums and approve priority scoring at its second scheduled meeting.
- F. The department provides funding to as many counties as possible, ranking each grant application in point total order, with the higher scoring projects receiving preference, taking account of and applying (at the discretion of the department) budget reduction package information, until the funds available are largely depleted (allowing for a slight ending balance).

3. ORMAP Technical Committee:

The ORMAP Technical Committee is a voluntary group made up of representatives of the stakeholders of the base map system including state, local, federal, public, and private areas. Vendors are welcome to participate in a nonvoting, non-decision-making role. The group reviews all grant proposals before they are presented for approval to the Director of the Department of Revenue.

The committee uses the following criteria when reviewing proposals:

- Grants have a timeline that is realistic in relationship to the request.
- A grant clearly states the cost of the project and is it cost effective?
- Grants have measurable deliverables.
- Clearly describe how the project will help the county/region move forward to accomplishing the ORMAP goals.
- Counties adhere to the current Cadastral Data Exchange Standard.

4. ORMAP Tools Group

The department will set aside 3% of the available funds each cycle to fund tool development. This fund will not exceed \$25,000. This fund will be separate from the funds available for remapping projects. The Tools Committee determines the tools or enhancements that are eligible for funding. They will assign a county to be responsible for the work. The group submits a grant request to ORMAP outlining the tools or enhancement. The group will report to the Tech Group the progress of each project.

Once the tool is completed, the Tools Committee would be responsible for testing the new tool. When testing is complete, the Tools Committee Chair will notify the ORMAP Coordinator that the tool is eligible for reimbursement from ORMAP. Participating counties would be required to provide a county match to the funds provided through by ORMAP.

5. ORMAP Goals:

The ORMAP program will make decisions and set priorities that enhance the program's ability to fulfill the following goals:

Goal 1:

ORMAP Goal 1 establishes that by April of 2002, Oregon will have a statewide, easily accessible, digital base map system that provides picture images of assessor maps and a limited amount of information via the ORMAP website. (Completed)

Goal 2:

ORMAP Goal 2 establishes that by October of 2004, Oregon will have a statewide, digital tax map system that supports a limited number of GIS applications. In addition, Assessors will use ten percent of the parcel maps as "assessor's taxlot maps." (Completed)

Goal 3:

ORMAP Goal 3 establishes that by October of 2006, Oregon will have a statewide, digital tax map system that supports the Assessment and Taxation (A&T) function and may be useful for a variety of additional GIS applications. Forty percent (40%) of county tax maps are produced using [ORMAP Mapping Methodology](#) and meet [ORMAP Technical Specifications](#).

Goal 4:

ORMAP Goal 4 establishes that by October of 2010, Oregon will have a statewide digital tax map system that supports the needs of the Assessment & Taxation (A&T) function and may be useful for other public and private GIS applications. Seventy percent (70%) of county tax maps are produced using ORMAP Mapping Methodology and meet ORMAP Technical Specifications.

Goal 5:

ORMAP Goal 5 establishes that by October of 2012, Oregon will have a statewide digital tax map system that supports the needs of the Assessment & Taxation (A&T) function and may be useful for other public and private GIS applications. Ninety percent (90%) of county tax maps are produced using ORMAP Mapping Methodology and meet ORMAP Technical Specifications.

Goal 6:

ORMAP Goal 6 establishes that by October of 2016, Oregon will have a statewide digital tax map system that supports the needs of the Assessment & Taxation (A&T) function and may be useful for other public and private GIS applications. All (100%) of county tax maps are produced using ORMAP Mapping Methodology and meet ORMAP Technical Specifications.

6. Pilot Project:

The completion of a successful pilot project is required before the approval of large-scale grant requests utilizing a new process or a new contractor. This will ensure that the process, county staff, and the contractor can provide a quality deliverable.

7. ORMAP Grants:

Requests for ORMAP funds are made during the grant cycles specified by the department. These typically occur twice a year, once in the spring and again in the fall. For a grant to be considered, the County must fill out an [ORMAP Grant Application](#), which can be downloaded from the ORMAP website. The completed application must be submitted to the ORMAP Project Coordinator no later than the due date posted on the ORMAP website for that funding cycle. An outline of the [funding process](#) is posted on the ORMAP website.

8. Funding Criteria:

In order to ensure that project proposals address ORMAP policy considerations and establish relative priorities between project proposals, all projects will be reviewed against the [ORMAP Funding Criteria](#). In addition, the ORMAP Technical Committee will review each proposal to ensure that the proposed mapping methodology is appropriate. Projects may be given lower priority or may be denied based on this review. When possible, the department will provide guidance to the county and provide the opportunity to adjust the proposal prior to final award decision. The ORMAP Coordinator will be responsible for maintaining the *ORMAP Funding Criteria* document with input from the ORMAP Advisory Committee and the ORMAP Technical Committee. Adjustments to the criteria may be made due to policy changes or additional technical considerations.

9. Hardware and Software Purchases:

ORMAP grant funds may be used for hardware and software purchases for the counties to use for Assessment and Taxation functions. These purchases will be limited to equipment found on the approved ORMAP Equipment list and within the approved price range. These requests can be made at anytime as stated in OAR 150-306.132. Any hardware or software purchase is a one-time purchase, and all future maintenance and licensing becomes the responsibility of the county.

10. Business Plans:

All counties are expected to develop and maintain an ORMAP Business Plan that outlines how and when the county will be completing work to move its cadastral data to ORMAP Technical Specifications. A business plan template has been developed for use by the counties. All grant proposals must show how they relate to the county's business plans.

11. Mapping Methodology:

Projects should follow the ORMAP Mapping Methodology set by department. A copy of this methodology is on the ORMAP website.

12. Actual Work:

ORMAP will only pay for work that has actually been done in conjunction with the ORMAP grant. ORMAP funds will not be awarded for work completed prior to the grant. All funding requests represent an estimated cost, and unused funds will be reverted to ORMAP. In order to receive funds, counties will submit an invoice with a detailed list of completed deliverables. There will be no new funds allocated for cost overruns without technical committee review. Deliverables must meet the current exchange standards, if applicable.

13. Partnerships:

Where possible, ORMAP grant applications should be given a priority if the funds will be used to leverage other funds and resources from other county departments, government agencies, or private industries that use the cadastral data produced by ORMAP and the County. Internal county partnerships are those that involve funding/resources from program areas outside of regular county cadastral map development. Cadastral/mapping staff time, equipment and other overhead costs will not be considered partnerships. The ORMAP Coordinator will assist counties develop partnerships by identifying opportunities and developing materials that explain the benefits of partnerships.

14. Geodatabase:

Grant applications related to converting existing digital taxlot files to a new Geodatabase may be considered maintenance if the county has already been mapped to ORMAP Technical Specifications and may not be funded. If the county has not met the technical specifications, Geodatabase conversion requests are reviewable by the Tech Group to determine if the proposed conversion benefits ORMAP goals.

15. Funding County Personnel:

ORMAP grant applications may be submitted to fund county personnel or temporary employees that are assigned to complete work on an approved mapping project for the duration of the approved project. Once the project that is the subject of the grant application is completed, ORMAP funding for the position will cease.

16. Grant Limits:

In the fall of 2009, ORMAP discussed how the program would handle large and small grant requests. It had become apparent, using the current funding criteria, that larger grant applications were skewing the weighting process. In addition, smaller grants were being reduced to the point of not being feasible. The tech and advisory committees decided that a “cap” would be placed on large grants, those exceeding 20% of the available fund balance for any particular funding cycle. In addition, to fund small grants fully, those at or below 3% of the projected fund balance for that particular funding cycle.

Counties may still apply for grants they feel are needed to complete their remapping projects by the goal of 2016. They apply with the knowledge that any applications that exceed the 20% limit are automatically modified to the 20% limit prior to going through the funding criteria and reduction process if requests exceed the available amount for that funding cycle. Counties applying for smaller grants will also be assured that if they are at or below the projected 3% limit, they will receive full funding. By funding these small grants first, it will reduce the overall available dollar amount for the larger grants.

Small Grants: Small grants are grants requesting 3%, or less, of the projected available funds in a funding cycle. The Department will announce the projections of available funds prior to the funding cycle. Counties requesting a “small grant” will receive full funding if it passes the funding criteria as defined by the department. These grants are awarded funds prior to grants that exceeded the 3%, reducing the overall available dollars.

Large Grants: Large grants are grant requests over 20% of the available funds for a funding cycle. The Department will announce the projections of available funds prior to the funding cycle. Counties may apply for the funds outlined in their business plans that are needed to complete their remapping projects by the 2016 goal.

They will apply with the knowledge that any applications that exceed the 20% limit are automatically modified to this limit prior to going through the funding criteria and reduction process if requests exceed the available amount for that funding cycle.

17. Exception Areas:

Exception Areas are areas within a county that the County's Assessor has identified as having no current (or anticipated) business need or economic return to remap to ORMAP technical specifications at this time. The County must document these areas in the metadata; this will contain an explanation as to why the area does not meet the standards. As well as, fill in the appropriate codes in the attribute table following the [Oregon Cadastral Data Exchange Standard](#) (see [ORMAP Reliability Codes](#)).

Appendix D:
ORMAP Funding Criteria
Revised February 2010

Review Criteria

Administrative Review

Each of these criteria is pass/fail. If the county does not meet the criteria, the county is allowed to make changes prior to it being posted for technical review.

- 1. Maintain a current online ORMAP business plan and provide DOR with a status map of the county's ORMAP project phases.**

A countywide status map will be a map of the county showing all the townships and sections within the county showing all phase of the remapping process. This will include all completed and future phases.

- 2. Have no more than two outstanding ORMAP "single county" grants.**

A county may only have two outstanding single county grants. A "single county" grant is a grant that has only one county named in the grant. If a county has two outstanding grants at the start of the funding cycle they may still apply for funding as long as at the time the current cycle's grant becomes active they only have two grants. This means if a county has two outstanding grants, one of those grants must be completed or expire at the time the current cycle's contract becomes active. A contract modification that includes a deadline extension may affect a county's ability to receive future funding.

Grant applications that are part of the Production Tools Group are exempt from this review criteria, as are grants that include a partnership of more that one county.

- 3. Agree to share data with the Department for its internal uses.**

Data outlined in the Cadastral Data Exchange Standard. **Does not** include ownership information; includes use of the data for the ORMAP website.

- 4. Propose a project directed at meeting one of ORMAP's goals.**
- 5. Provide ORMAP, by February 1, with the most current calendar year's countywide shape file, which meets the Cadastral Data Exchange Standard.**
- 6. At the Department's discretion, counties will provide a "reduction package" within the grant application outlining funding reductions of varying percentages.**

To prioritize county needs and help the Department applies funding reductions, if needed.

Technical Review

Each of these criteria is pass/fail. If the county does not meet the criteria, the county is allowed to make changes via an addendum following the technical committee's first meeting and prior to the committee's second meeting.

The grant application must:

- 1. Demonstrate a successful process.**

A successful process is one that is cost effective and aligns with the ORMAP goals.

2. Have a completion timeframe not to exceed one year.

Projects that will last longer than one year must be broken into multi-year projects or phases that will be reviewed each year.

3. Have a reasonable and measurable deliverable.

A reasonable and measurable deliverable is a deliverable that can be completed within the one-year timeframe using the methodology detailed in the grant application.

Priority Scoring

Scoring points for technical and policy ratings are combined into a single score.

Technical Rating

County grant proposals that meet all of the ORMAP criteria will be scored as follows:

1. County edge matching projects – maximum of 5 points

If the requested project will address edge matching of the tax lot layer with neighboring counties, it will receive a maximum of five points. The county must have agreements with the neighboring counties affected by the project. The scoring will be as follows:

Percent of project, in distance (miles), along a common boundary:

1% - 10%	=	1 point
11% - 20%	=	3 points
21+%	=	5 points

If the county boundary has already been completed, and the county can produce documentation that the neighboring counties agree to the boundary, the county will receive an automatic 3 points on all future grant applications.

2. Ongoing projects – 2 points

The project is part of an ongoing, multi-phased project outlined in the county’s online ORMAP business plan.

3. Completion of a low percentage of tax lots that meet the ORMAP Technical Specifications – maximum of 5 points

If the requesting county has a low percentage of its tax lots meeting the technical specifications, it will be given points. The percentage of completed tax lots will be taken from the county’s online ORMAP business plan. The scoring will be as follows:

1% - 30%	=	5 points
31% - 70%	=	3 points
71% - 99%	=	1 point

4. Completion of the county’s remapping (bringing the county to 100% of its tax lots to technical specifications) – 5 points

If a county grant application brings the entire county to meeting ORMAP Goal 6 (100% of county tax maps meeting the technical specifications), it will receive a one-time addition of five points.

Policy Rating

1. Multi-county efforts to encourage collaboration – 1 point

Projects that involve more than one county in the production of maps, collection of control, or sharing of resources are considered multi-county efforts. An example is remapping the county boundary where each county involved remaps a portion of the boundary and other counties use that data. Another example is one county developing a tool or process that can be used by other counties. In order to receive points, an agreement with the other counties is needed indicating that this tool or process will be implemented by the other counties.

2. Funding partnerships – 1 point

A funding partnership is an agreement with another agency or department within the county to provide cash or services to meet the goals of ORMAP. Services that would normally be provided by that agency, such as computer support from county IT services, are not included.

3. Significantly greater costs if not funded in the current cycle – 3 pts

The county must document a significant saving to funding the project in the current cycle versus funding it later or by spreading it out over multiple project phases.

4. Significant contribution of non-DOR resources to completing ORMAP Goal 6 – Maximum of 5 points

Comparison of the total amount of ORMAP funds expended divided by the number of tax lots that are currently in Goal 6 compliant tax maps. A county in the 75 percentile measured by the lowest cost per tax lot receives five points; a county in the 50 percentile receives 3 points; a county in the 25 percentile receives 1 point.

5. County has signed a statewide data sharing agreement to share their tax lot data – 2 points

This is in reference to the Department of Administrative Services (DAS) and the Cadastral Framework Implementation Team (FIT) effort to share county tax lot data with state agencies for limited purposes. By signing this agreement a county would received \$1,000 annually in exchange for making their tax lot data available as part of a statewide tax lot shapefile.

6. Preference points for next funding cycle – 3 points

If a county voluntarily withdraws its grant request or if the grant does not qualify for funding because of a low point total, “preference points” will be awarded when the county resubmits the grant request. The grant request must be the same as the withdrawn grant. Consideration will be taken for any reasonable increases in cost because of the delay in performing the work.