

*1st Spring 2010 ORMAP Tech Group
Meeting Minutes*

- April 22, 2010 -

I. Call to order

Phil called to order at 10:00 at Salem Field Office.

II. Roll call

The following persons were present:

Brett Juul, DOR
Phil McClellan, DOR
Wendy Schink, Tillamook Co.
Paul Kauffman, Washington Co.
John Prychun, DOR
Donna Morse, Benton Co.
Eric Bohard, Clackamas Co.
Dean Anderson, Polk Co.
David Cutting, Lane Co.
Tim Mercer, DOR

Linda Blacklock, DOR
Mike Mamic, Jefferson Co.
James Kivley, DOR
Hall Guttormsen, IDS
Brian Hansberry, Yamhill Co.
Tom Brateng, Polk Co.
Byron Clayton, BLM
Steve Ganoe, Marion Co.
Matthew Taylor, DOR

Present by phone:

Krista Houseknecht, Clatsop Co.
Bob Haas, Deschutes Co.
Dawn Smith, Wallowa Co.
Gabe Snodgrass, Josephine Co.
John Hawkins, Curry Co.

Scribe: Ashley Zuniga, DOR

III. Review of Spring Grants

[Grant Questions \(4-22\).pdf](#)

- a) Phil sent out questions to the group via e-mail yesterday with responses from others.
- Crook: Steve and James previously discussed the process for this county. Reducing this project will further delay the land classification project that the county is trying to complete. Right now they have tax lots digitized off the air photo and merged together to save time with only one process.
 - Curry: John sent Phil the list of 14 maps that he will add into their grant application for the final copy.
 - Jefferson: the tax lots are 100% complete; however, the taxmaps are not – 79% meet tech specs and 21% do not.
 - Josephine: Will not collect as many control points with fewer funds, but the cost for control points will be the same regardless. Reversed tax map numbers.
 - Morrow: county surveyor will be doing control points as a private surveyor. Mary Phillips, a past cartographer/GIS person for the county collected many control points from BLM independent survey and ODOT highway strip maps. Morrow County was not aware that information was available at one time – she may be able to lead to good contacts to find that information.

- Polk: \$30/tax lot is based on a pilot estimate before starting the conversion efforts; county partnership eludes that we are putting the data into ORMAP/ArcMap/ESRI data structure; it is 1600 taxlots; GIS technician will be doing the 100 control points and \$48,000 worth of tax lots (1,600), Polk County is directly funding \$6,000 worth of tax lots. Polk/Benton county surveyors will do \$4,000 worth of work each and provide them with \$8,000 each to build the control for the county line. This is part of the last grant, fall 2009, that they didn't get full funding. The \$70/point is for the survey technician to research which points to find. Discussion ensued around the county's GIS equipment and surveying points.
 - Wallowa: fewer townships would be remapped if not fully funded
 - Washington: \$44,565 should be \$44,550 for 3,971 tax lots.
- b) The question was asked how many grants are being requested from each county if the funds are being rationed. Some counties are requesting each funding cycle, while others request once a year. The group previously discussed changing to one funding cycle, but for now, the two funding cycles are still open for applications.
 - c) The question was asked regarding how the grant is affected if not fully funded. Is credit or points being given for having matching grants? Seems that it is 3 points for possibly losing a large sum of money from another source by documenting a significant savings for funding now opposed to later.
 - d) All grants will now move forward to the scoring and reductions phases.

IV. ORMAP Fund

[Fund Balance \(4-22\).pdf](#)

- a) Forecasted deposit: \$238,844.00; actual deposit: \$205,936.07; there were no contract reversions or new contracts funded this last quarter and tools group received \$3,000.
- b) Administrative costs are averaged of last 3 quarters – balance of \$342,772.00
- c) The 20% for large grants was 68,564 – Klamath County was only one over that limit, they will be reduced to the 20% limit prior to scoring and weighting.
- d) There were 4 grants under the 3% and they will receive full funding.

V. Countywide Shapefile

- a) Everyone sent in shapefiles and they looked great.
- b) 20 counties have signed FIT agreement for \$1,000 bonus. DAS will make that information available to state agencies (excluding Universities). Invoices need to be in by June to DAS.
- c) The shapefiles will be updated on the ORMAP website. Running the topology now, hopefully done in about a week.
- d) In the process of moving ORMAP mapping part of the website from ArcIMS to ArcServer; the info-side of the website (agendas, minutes, etc.) will not change much – hopefully this will done by the end of December.
 - Members suggested that any future web servers that ORMAP would provide to be “WMS” This should provide ease in access to others consuming web services from ORMAP.
 - Note: The department will be looking for people to help in the development and testing of the web site. Please e-mail Phil if you are interested.

VI. Air Photos

- a) Josephine: Appraisers use air photos a lot when doing re-assessments of property – the e-mail John McCafferty sent out explains why Josephine County believe them to be of importance at this point.
- b) Discussion followed regarding the purchase and necessity of air photos.

- The use of 6-inch photos in Polk County is good for ancillary information, but they wouldn't be used in remapping. Air photos are not proper tools for assessing & surveying property.
- If air photos are going to be considered for assessment purposes than other imagery options should be considered as well such as the pictometry imagery.
- This issue should be a goal 7 item for future decisions to be made when the remapping is finished and we are moving on to the assessment part of funding.

VII. ORMAP Tool Group Update

[Tools Committee Plan Draft \(4-22\).pdf](#)

- a) Working to get the committee plan onto the ORMAP website.
- b) The draft document is currently available for comments from others.
- c) The list of users helps to see and understand the breakdown of the processes for approval.
- d) Would like comments and feedback of goals proposed.
- e) Bold represent "completed"; plain text represents "in progress"; italics represent "proposed" in priority order.
- f) Discussion ensued around items to discuss at the tech group meeting this fall and future Tools Group meeting.
- g) The committee would like to have a new coordinator from another county for this project soon. Someone will need to take over David's role.

VIII. FIT Update & Data Sharing Agreement

- a) Cress Bates and Milt Hill were not in attendance to discuss this.
- b) 20 counties who have signed the sharing agreement; working with the Department to try and increase the amount of counties participating
- c) Milt is looking for a new FIT Chair, so if you are interested contact Phil for Milt's number.
- d) The committee is working on creating the standard now; future work once this part is complete could include research projects, requesting funding, or other proposals.
- e) Milt could provide more information to the group on the specifics of the committee and what they work to do.

IX. Future Agenda Items

- e) **Phil:** Milt Hill could provide the group with a discussion on FIT Chair duties and details of FIT purposes.
- f) **Hall:** How will DOR handle current data working with Oregon Coordinate Reference System (low-distortion projections)? They are working with ESRI to get those coordinate systems into the software.
- g) There is nothing for the Advisory Committee.
- h) The next scheduled meeting is May 6, 2010.

X. Adjournment

Phil adjourned the meeting at **11:30**.

Minutes submitted by: **Ashley Zuniga**

Minutes approved by: **Phil McClellan**

Spring 2010

Funding Criteria Point Matrix

County	Total Pts	Technical Scoring				Policy Scoring						Amt Requested
		#1	#2	#3	#4*	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	
Jefferson	20	5	2	0	5	0	1	0	5	2	0	\$ 48,318.00
Polk	17	5	2	3	0	1	1	0	3	2	0	\$ 65,000.00
Clackamas	14	3	2	1	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	\$ 25,000.00
Crook	14	5	2	3	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	\$ 25,244.00
Washington	14	3	2	3	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	\$ 65,541.00
Tillamook	12	3	2	1	0	0	1	0	3	2	0	\$ 55,340.00
Coos	11	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	\$ 64,329.00
Klamath	10	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	\$ 68,554.00
Lane	9	0	2	3	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	\$ 67,000.00
Curry												\$ 9,800.00
Josephine												\$ 9,600.00
Morrow												\$ 9,600.00
Wallowa												\$ 9,834.00
												\$ 523,160.00

* = Final Remapping Request

Technical Scoring

- 1. County Edgematching
- 2. Ongoing Project
- 3. Percentage of taxlots Completed
- 4. Final Remapping Request

Policy Scoring

- 1. Multi-County Project
- 2. Funding Partnerships
- 3. Greater Funding Cost
- 4. Non-DOR Contributions
- 5. Sign Statewide Data Licensing Agreement
- 6. Grant Withdrawal Points

FUNDING CYCLE Spring 2010

Fund Balance	\$ 342,772.00	20% Limit	\$ 68,554
Small Grants	\$ 38,834.00	3% Limit	\$ 9,852
Amount Available	\$ 303,938.00		

Updated: May 3, 2010

County	Original Amt.	Points	Capped Amount	Reduced \$\$	Reduction Percentage	requested/ available		Wt w/amt	Awarded Amount	Fund Balance
Jefferson	\$ 48,318	20	\$ 48,318	\$ 2,083	4%	16%	966,360	15%	\$ 46,235	\$ 257,703
Polk	\$ 65,000	17	\$ 65,000	\$ 12,132	19%	21%	1,105,000	17%	\$ 52,868	\$ 204,834
Clackamas	\$ 25,000	14	\$ 25,000	\$ 8,254	33%	8%	350,000	6%	\$ 16,746	\$ 188,089
Crook	\$ 25,244	14	\$ 25,244	\$ 8,335	33%	8%	353,416	6%	\$ 16,909	\$ 171,180
Washington	\$ 65,541	14	\$ 65,541	\$ 21,640	33%	22%	917,574	14%	\$ 43,901	\$ 127,278
Tillamook	\$ 55,340	12	\$ 55,340	\$ 23,567	43%	18%	664,080	10%	\$ 31,773	\$ 95,506
Coos	\$ 64,329	11	\$ 64,329	\$ 30,473	47%	21%	707,619	11%	\$ 33,856	\$ 61,650
Klamath	\$ 91,240	10	\$ 68,554	\$ 35,755	52%	23%	685,540	11%	\$ 32,799	\$ 28,850
Lane	\$ 67,000	9	\$ 67,000	\$ 38,150	57%	22%	603,000	9%	\$ 28,850	\$ -
Totals	\$ 507,012		\$ 484,326	\$ 180,388			6,352,589	100%	\$ 303,938	\$ (0)

Funded Small Grants

Curry	\$ 9,800.00
Josephine	\$ 9,600.00
Morrow	\$ 9,600.00
Wallowa	\$ 9,834.00

Weighted \$\$ = Points x Amt Requested

Weighted % = Weighted \$\$/Total of weighted dollars