

**ORMAP Tech Group**  
**August 29, 2002**  
**Bend**

Attending: Scott Jackson, Facilitator, DOR, Bob Haas – Deschutes County, Keith Massie – Jackson County, John Swann – Titan Systems Corp, Marcus Glass – Titan Systems Corp., Cy Smith – DAS/IRMD, Rhonda Halvorsen – High Desert Geo-Tech (Jefferson County), John Prychun – DOR, John Schaeffer – Crook County, Dave Nixon – Jefferson County, Cress Bates – Lane County, P. W., Barbara Mitchell – DOR

***Agenda item:*** Review Proposals

**NORTHWEST REGION**

Columbia County – Regional \$7,300

Request for 2000 Digital Orthographic Quads (DOQ's). Columbia County's contribution will be \$ 3,566. DOQ's will help them to go through conversion process. Columbia County has similar number of quads as Tillamook County and ORMAP funded Tillamook for the 2002 request. Asking for 20 quads, 20 quads include the entire Columbia County.

***ACTION:*** Tech group moves forward to Dept. Revenue.

Tillamook County – Discretionary \$29,575.35

Tillamook County withdrew their previous proposals. The Tech Group made an exception for Tillamook County and Multnomah County. However, Multnomah County chose not to submit a proposal. We had discussion regarding allowing this to be postponed. This was the Tech Groups decision:

1. Better communication with the counties.
2. The Advisory Committee had given Tillamook and Multnomah permission to submit their proposals again at this time.
3. Consensus's of Tech Group to make sure everyone is aware of what took place and send an apology.

Tillamook is currently in a map conversion project. Tillamook County is requesting monies to continue funding a temporary surveyor and the necessary tools to support the effort. County needs to answer the following questions prior to the final tech group review:

1. What will be done in a year for GPS points?
2. What are the breakdown costs (\$100,000) of the survey control?
3. Will the surveyor be providing the GPS equipment? Any additional crew?
4. Is this the last year to collect points or will they have another proposal next year.

***ACTION:*** Tech group will request answers to the questions.

Tillamook County – Regional \$15,000

Need clarification of questions of portable PC for temporary employee, plotter and deliverables:

- 1) What will happen to the portable PC once the survey effort is completed?
- 2) Where will the large format BW plotter reside and what specifically will it be plotting?
- 3) Define what "maintenance procedures" is? What will the \$2,000 be paying for?
- 4) Who will be getting the upgrade ArcInfo COGO license?

**ACTION:** Tech group will request answers to the questions.

**MIDWEST REGION**Lincoln County – Regional \$10,000

Clarification was asked to see if this will meet Goal #3 or if it should be under Goal #2? The example of Depoe Bay

Will meet goal 3, Depoe bay under project design #2

**ACTION:** Tech Group moves forward to Dept. of Revenue.

Polk County – Regional \$11,800

This project will primarily be used to develop a new data model so that the county can take advantage of the new ESRI Geodata Model. Polk County will invite other counties who use ESRI software to participate in the development of the data model to ensure that the model not only works for Polk County but will also potentially work for others. Jackson County wants to contribute ORMAP funds to Polk County for this project. Question: Does Polk County have ample regional funds? Yes, Midwest Region has ample funds. Previously funded round 1 Midwest Region wide request was applied entirely to Polk County.

**ACTION:** Several other counties will work with Dean to revise proposal.

**CENTRAL REGION**Crook County – Regional \$6,976

Revised their original proposal.

**ACTION:** Tech group moves forward the revised proposal to Dept. of Revenue.

Jefferson County – Regional \$10,668.80

Need to change date to November or December for the work to be completed. Dave Nixon was present to answer the questions raised by the Tech Group.

**ACTION:** Tech Group moves forward to Dept. of Revenue with the revision to the timeline.

***Agenda item:*** New subcommittee report

Met earlier this week, to discuss issues that will be presented to Tech Group. Attending were, Brett Juul Carolyn Sunderman, Isabel Joslen and Scott Jackson. This meeting is open to any member to talk about technical issues on applications. Meeting will be arranged to proceed the funding proposal review meetings.

***Suggestion presented:***

1. Change the seating format at the Advisory Committee meetings. Set the Advisory committee only at tables in the front of the room, with every one else in stadium seating arrangements. Only answer questions that are asked of you specifically.
2. Every county that has a proposal on the table should have a representative at the meeting their proposal is being reviewed.

***Agenda item:*** Department of Revenue ORMAP update***Funding cycles***

We will have two funding cycles – October and April to accommodate county budgets.

***Website – ormap.org***

Our goal is to have the site up by the time of the Northwest Conference. The ORMAP Website is new and improved; we would like your comments on the site.

***Status ORMAP Coordinator***

Position description has been sent to the Human Resource Dept at Dept. of Revenue. This is an Other Funds position and will be able to be entirely funded from ORMAP. The ORMAP program has been moved to the Property Tax Division. Isabel Joslen has assumed Jim Manary's position, therefore the new ORMAP Coordinator will report to Isabel. This person will be visible in the Counties and will help all the Counties with their project proposals. It is possible the job announcement will be able to go out in October and hiring in December.

***Application Forms for ORMAP Funding***

- New application form/process for ORMAP funds. We will send out an application packet with a cover sheet included for the group to review and comment on.

***Equipment Allowances***

- Scott handed out the allowances and attachment that have various pieces of equipment listed. Scott reported they picked average prices from proposals that have previously been funded by ORMAP. The Advisory Group will be able to use this as a tool for reviewing proposals. If the proposal is more than the established equipment allowance, the county

will need to be prepared to answer the question, why?

**Next meeting:**

Tech Group

Wednesday, September 25, 2002

At the NW ESRI User Conference

In the Fireside room at Sunriver, noon to 1:00 or 1:30

Meeting adjourned 12:30 p.m.