

## OLIS Tech Group Meeting

Revenue Building, Room #457

Thursday, December 2, 1999

1:00 , 3:00 PM

### **Attendees:**

Scott Jackson , Chair, DOR

Jim Manary , Project Leader, DOR Deputy Director

Dean Anderson - Polk County

Keith Massie , Jackson County

Ed Arabas , ODOT

Dan Malaer - Washington County

John Prychun , DOR

Brett Juul , DOR

Carol Hall - Metro

Monica Warren , Project Support, DOR

### **Opening Remarks , Jim Manary**

The eventual product is to have a consistent state-wide mapping base. There is no restriction on the funding at this point, so a plan needs to be developed for the best usage.

Initially Jim is working to organize the potential users, get them together (possibly by region), and help them to understand the benefits. The tech group can give realities and timelines for the directions the users foresee the project going. It will be important to look at commonality among the counties and develop strategies for each area.

There is a PowerPoint presentation being put together to generate interest in applications people would like to see for their county/program. This month it will be presented to the commissioners in Coos Bay.

It will be important to emphasize that OLIS is also a tool for improving upon current digital mapping systems, rather than simply a means to get counties not currently digital up and running.

There are opportunities for multiple goals. Perhaps there could be some partnering with NILS (National Integrated Lands Project). Is DOR standard the one that everyone would like to reach?

Would like to talk about purpose of tech group and statement of purpose.

### **Define Purpose of Tech Group - Scott Jackson**

#### **a. Relationship to Advisory Committee**

The Advisory Committee will need to be educated on what the system will do in non-technical terms. In addition to updates on interest around the state they need to know how people may benefit from the project.

The Advisory Committee will make decisions on how to spend the money, with ultimate approval from the DOR Director.

#### **b. Relationship to DOR**

The Department of Revenue will continue to build maps in the same manner as before. There will need to be some decisions around how to integrate the county maps with the state-wide map, especially in terms of boundaries.

#### **c. Relationship to Counties**

The project could be perceived as extra work load to the counties. This is especially true for counties that have "paper" maps. There is always anxiety when change occurs. It will be important to ensure that the "paper" map counties know there will be training to help them automate to digital mapping.

OLIS is essentially a way for the counties to make their mapping programs better. It will be important to communicate with assessors on why this is of value to them.

Issues around surveyors and BLM need to be addressed so that everyone is on the same page.

### **Develop Statement of Purpose - Scott Jackson/Jim Manary**

To provide on-going technical advice for the advancement of a powerful, broadly beneficial state-wide land information system.

### **Compensation-Scott Jackson/Dean Anderson**

The question was raised about compensation for people/their agencies involved in this group since there is a funding source and a certain amount of time involved.

Thoughts:

- If travel is required, reimbursement for expenses seems appropriate
- Compensation will not be much of an issue if time involvement is minimal.
- Review compensation issue later once an idea of time commitment is known.

### **Housekeeping Items**

For the next meeting:

Develop a survey to assess the status of county (1) digital mapping, (2) extent of information records and (3) their overall Geographic Information System.

DOR will initiate the first draft of the survey questions. Members will email their additions/deletions to Monica.

Create a list of county contacts for GIS.

### **Next Meeting**

Monday, January 10, 2000

Department of Revenue, Room 457

1:00 , 3:00