

ORMAP Advisory Committee

February 1, 2001

Salem Field Office

Members Attending: Jerry Hanson, Marc Thomas, Mike Propes, Steve West, Mark Kinslow, Dick Inman, Harvey Nelson, George Lewis, Greg Baker

Others Attending: Dan Linscheid, Jim Manary, Eric Gillette, John Prychun, Brett Juul, Keith Massie, Scott Jackson, Andrea Westersund, Ed Arabas, Dan Malaer, Monica Warren, Jim McClellan

Agenda Item: *Federal Activity – Marc Thomas*

The western states are working with members of Congress to provide money for mapping in the western states. There is a senator in Utah who will sponsor the bill and needs co-sponsors. Hopefully, if Congress does appropriate some of this funding, ORMAP may have additional revenues to move faster on our goals.

Marc is putting information about ORMAP together for the Utah senator to help aid in obtaining funding.

Agenda Item: *GOAL 1 Requests – Jim Manary*

The summary tables and proposals reflect the actual requests, rather than the recommendations of the Tech Group.

MAINTENANCE COUNTIES

The maintenance counties can achieve Goal 1 with \$3000. There are service agreements in place between Department of Revenue and these counties.

Some maintenance counties have asked for CD Writers and one would like a stand alone PC that would allow the county staff to view the data from their county offices. These requests amount to an additional \$6500. There are many valid reasons for these requests, including increased county ability to serve their

customers. However, the Advisory Committee recommended that dollars only be granted that directly result in achieving Goal 1. These needs can be addressed later in Goal 2-4 requests.

To help accommodate the non-maintenance counties' ability to serve their customers, Department of Revenue will burn some extra CDs for them to have on hand.

NON-MAINTENCE COUNTIES:

The non-maintenance counties are asking for money in several main areas, including education, hardware, software, creating the index for OGDC, and creating the TIFF images.

Agenda Item: *Proposal Process Review*

For the first round of proposals, the counties were asked to submit proposals that they felt met Goal 1. From the wide variety of requests that have come in, it is clear that the Tech Group needs to more clearly define the types of proposals that fit with each goal. They can then work with the counties and regions on refining the proposals and make suggestions about better ways they can achieve the objectives outlined in the proposals.

In this first process, the Tech Group members felt unsure of their role in the process. Particular, they did not know if the Advisory Committee wanted to fund proposals that went beyond Goal 1 specifications if they were good ideas and fit with the overall vision of ORMAP.

The Advisory Committee members noted that only funding Goal 1 specific requests leaves more money to go into the formula and discretionary funds. The Advisory Committee would like to limit the Goal 1 funding to only those requests necessary to produce the images on the central web site. Most members would

like Tech Group to take a more active role in working with the counties to refine proposals. Then Advisory Committee would like the Tech Group to organize the future requests into a recommendation and explain to them how the proposals fit into the goals and vision of ORMAP.

As to Goal 1, Elizabeth is comfortable with having the Tech Group go forward and make decisions and consult with the Department of Revenue as they do so. They would then provide feedback about what was spent for Goal 1, and then be able to move on to the other goals.

Agenda Item: *Preview of Goals 2-4*

The Tech Group is meeting during the afternoon to discuss a timeline for Goals 2-4. Many of the requests will address moving from paper to digital maps. There will also be several proposals that involve aerial and satellite photos, as well as requests that involve internet applications.

There is a group organized to begin meeting with surveyors. As we move into Goals 2-4, control will become a high priority, so surveyor involvement will be helpful to develop a statewide strategy around control.

A number of Advisory Committee members recommended that the Department look to hiring a full time person to manage the ORMAP program as the coordination needs increase.

Next Meeting

March 22, 2001

9:30 – 3:00

Salem Field Office

1. Revisit criteria
2. Update from Tech Group about Goal 1

3. Goal 2-4 proposals