

Spring 2008 ORMAP Advisory Committee Meeting
Department of Revenue, Revenue Building
955 Center St. NE
Salem, Room 467
April 10, 2008
10 am – 12 pm

Conference Call: 510-637-7002 code: 664936

1. Introductions 10 am

A lunch break is not scheduled on the agenda, but if the discussion looks to carry over, we will break around noon.

2. Additions to the Agenda

3. ORMAP Coordinator Report 10:15 am

A. Spring 2008 Grant Requests:

This spring ORMAP was faced with a budget deficit of nearly \$190,000. After applying the funding criteria (see Attachment C) that we developed last year we were still faced with several funding options. Process-wise, this left us with several alternatives to consider:

One, do we only fund the requests that scored the highest on the criteria scoring? This left nearly half the proposed projects unfunded.

Second, do we apply an “across the board” percentage reduction? The percentage required to get ORMAP below the projected deficit required too large of a cut for some counties to deal with. Those counties said “if you’re going to cut it that much, you might as well cut the entire project, which will effectively stop our ORMAP efforts.”

Third, do we examine whether within each grant there might be opportunity for some prioritization of elements within each request given the reduced funding level? We went grant by grant, spoke with each county about the cut we were thinking of making to their request and if they could work with that amount. The counties that were using an outside contractor were asked to make some of the biggest cuts. I have listed a summary of the grants with the suggested funding in Attachment A.

The Department has projected this trend to continue for the next several quarters. This is going to put the ORMAP project in a unique position and more counties come online for funding to meet the current goals. In the past we have placed a priority on the edgematching and remapping projects that put counties at Goal 6 (100% meeting the technical specifications). In the goals it gives counties that have meet the technical specifications some

guidance as to the types of projects ORMAP will fund in the future (e.g. Soils maps, taxing districts, and building footprints).

It was then suggested by Revenue administration that the funding criteria might need to be put into an administrative rule. The Department is looking at incorporating the funding criteria document into one of the existing ORMAP rules.

We invite committee members to share feedback on whether additional elements might be necessary to consider for the funding criteria.

- Spring 2008 Grant Summary (Attachment A)
- Suggested Grant Funding (Attachment B)
- Funding Criteria (Attachment C)

B. ORMAP Fund Report

As I mentioned above ORMAP is looking at a \$190,000 funding deficit this cycle. Attached are the funding documents for this cycle. First is the “Outstanding ORMAP Funds” document (Attachment D). This shows the current funds allocations as well as revert funds and current grant applications for each of the counties.

Next is the “Projected Spring 2008 Deposit Data” (Attachment E). This document shows the current fund balance, the project deposit, projected administrative fees, and awarded contracts to date. We have not included this cycle’s requests.

Last is a copy of the “December 2007 ORMAP Fund Report” (Attachment F). This is the most current report we have from the quarter ending December 2007. We are still compiling the data for the quarter ending March 2008.

- Outstanding ORMAP Funds (Attachment D)
- Projected Spring 2008 Deposit Data (Attachment E)
- December 2007 ORMAP Fund Report (Attachment F)

C. Testing County Data for ORMAP Technical Specifications

Over the past year members of the ORMAP Tech Group and DOR have been working to develop a “testing tool” for the taxlot data. The programming has been completed and it is now in the testing stage. This tool will check the control and line work geometry for each taxmap. There are two counties currently testing the tool, Polk and Clatsop County, as well as the DOR. This software has been developed specifically for ESRI software, which is used by 32 of the counties.

We have been talking with the vendor for the GeoMedia Software (IDS) as well as the four counties that currently use the software, about the capabilities of that software to perform a similar test. We have been told by the vendor that similar test is available with GeoMedia. We are now looking into this to see if it will meet the technical specifications outlined by the technical group.

D. How Counties are Integrating ORMAP Data into Business Operations

It was asked at last fall's meeting to discuss how the counties are integrating the ORMAP data into their business operations. Many counties have already integrated their taxlot data into a countywide enterprise solutions making up to date taxlot information available to other county departments and local governments. Others have provided their data through public terminals at the assessor's office, where the public can come in and access the taxlot data and print out documents and maps.

E. Data Availability from Third Parties

The Committee asked DOR to review that availability of taxlot and assessor's data from third parties. There are many websites out there that provide taxlot and assessor data for a fee, MetroScan and Zillow.com are only a few. MetroScan purchases their data from the counties, they provide tax roll and/or transaction data for all of the counties, except for Curry.

4. CDOT Section Manager Update 11 am

A. Data Sharing Update

Data sharing continues to be an obstacle for getting the ORMAP data to public and private groups. In the past year DOR, DAS, OGIC, and the Cadastral FIT group has been working to provide this data to state and private groups.

OGIC has formed a data sharing taskforce that DOR is a member with on along with members from the counties and other state agencies. Linked are two explanatory documents: One describes background related to the Data Sharing Partnership Task Force as well as the three phases contemplated for promoting data sharing between state agencies and local government.

<http://gis.oregon.gov/DAS/EISPD/GEO/docs/datasharing/DataSharingApproach.pdf>.

The other describes in more detail the efforts behind the Cadastral Data Framework Layer in a "Status Report" presented at the March 2008 OGIC meeting.

<http://gis.oregon.gov/DAS/EISPD/GEO/docs/ogic/CadastralDataFrameworkLayerStatusReport3083.pdf>.

The Cadastral FIT has received \$36,000 in funding from DAS to develop a data sharing agreement between the counties and state agencies. DOR will be the stewards of the funds and the data. The idea is to create a taxlot deliverable, similar to the annual deliverable that the counties provide to ORMAP. This deliverable will require the counties to meet the current data exchange standard and provide information that is optional for ORMAP, such as the ownership information. Once the counties provide this data to DOR and sign the data sharing agreement they will receive \$1,000. Participation in this is optional for the counties. DAS, DOR, and the Cadastral FIT are working on an agreement that establishes the responsibilities of the various parties, including the limitations imposed on state agencies regarding use of the data.

One issue these groups are addressing is that of liability for the data. Several of the counties are concerned that once the data has been released that it could be misused and misrepresent the county. They would like some assurances that this will not happen and we are working to make sure this does not happen.

5. Advisory Committee Membership 11:10

A number of the members will be completing their term on the ORMAD Advisory Committee. For those members who wish to renew their membership for another two year term are welcome to do so. For those who wish to leave, we ask that they please provide a name or two of someone who might be interested in serving on the committee.

Member	Term Ends	Member	Term Ends
Mike Daly	6/30/08	Brandt Melick	6/30/09
Mike Livingston	6/30/08	Larry Hatch	6/30/08
Clayton Carter	6/30/09	Anette Spickard	6/30/09
Linc Cannon	6/30/09	Doug Hillpot	6/30/09
Orrin Fredrick	6/30/09	Bob Harmon	6/30/09
Pat Shaw	6/30/09	Mark Labhart	6/30/08
Dan Linscheid	6/30/09		

6. Future Agenda Items 11:30 am

A. Funding Criteria:

1. When facing a funding deficit should we apply an across the board reduction (i.e. everyone's grant is reduced by a certain percentage.)
2. What types or projects should be given a funding priority?
 - a. Edgematching
 - b. County Completion
Temporary Staff

B. Other:

Suggestions?

7. Future Meeting Dates 11:45 am

Currently the next meeting is scheduled for October 16, 2008. Should we meet sooner to discuss the funding criteria questions (June or July) and address any other issues or concerns?

We invite committee members to share feedback.

Attachment A.
Spring 2008 ORMAP Grant Applications Summary

Funding Definitions:

When making the first pass through these grant requests, I used an outstanding balance of over \$80,000 as “a large outstanding balance” and a grant requests over \$60,000 as a “large request.”

Benton County

Requested Amount: \$52,400
Suggested Funding: \$44,540

Outstanding Balance: \$50,000

Grant Summary:

The intent of this project is to collect Survey Grade GPS Mapping Control and re-map Urban Growth and Rural Residential zoned areas on the outskirts of Corvallis – which is roughly described by the North Half of Township 11 N, Range 5 W. This data would be adjacent to ORMAP format data created in previous projects.

Funding Summary:

This is part of Benton County’s on going effort to remap the county to the ORMAP Technical Specifications. The deliverables for this grant was 2,850 taxlots and 214 survey grade control points. A 15% reduction was applied to the number of taxlots to be produced (393); this should have only a minor impact on Benton County’s remapping completion date of 2011-12. The new suggested deliverables for this project will be 1,692 taxlots @ \$20/taxlot and 214 control points @ \$50/pt.

Clackamas County

Requested Amount: \$25,000
Suggested Funding: \$10,000

Outstanding Balance: \$87,228

Grant Summary:

Clackamas County is continuing to undergo a tax lot enhancement project to increase the relative precision of our current tax lot GIS data layer. Though, Clackamas County has a complete digital GIS tax lot layer, some of the previous GIS mapping efforts are simply ‘cartoon’ representations of ownership tax lots and have a wide level of accuracy confidence. Hence, the focus of this project is to complete re-mapping tax lots in the County to meet the accuracy levels described in ORMAP technical specifications. Highly urbanized areas (58% of the total number of tax lots), urbanized rural areas or UGB (20% of the total), traditionally rural tax lots or farmland /managed forestland (22%), and resource lands and large publicly owned tax lots (2%) comprise the tax lot make up of the County. This particular project constitutes continued work on the rural tax lots.

Funding Summary:

This is part of Clackamas County's on going effort to remap the county to the ORMAP Technical Specifications. The deliverables for this grant was 1,190 taxlots at a cost of \$21/taxlot. After speaking to Clackamas County, they suggested that they could continue this effort with a reduced amount of funding from ORMAP (\$10,000, not sure how this would affect deliverables). It was also suggested that if sufficient funds remained on a pervious contract and if that contract could be modified to redirect those funds Clackamas County may consider withdrawing this request all together.

Clatsop County

Requested Amount: \$15,264
Suggested Funding: FULL

Outstanding Balance: \$9,600

Grant Summary:

Clatsop County is seeking \$15,264 to do map accuracy improvement, post conversion review, and editing for T8N10W after conversion to the new ESRI ArcMap data structure in a SQL database environment. Upon conversion this township had several control problems. Our plan is to improve the control in this area in conjunction with conversion of our data to the new data structure.

Funding Summary:

Coos County

Requested Amount: \$81,640
Suggested Funding: \$39,320

Outstanding Balance: \$67,099

Grant Summary:

Coos County is requesting \$81,640 to begin Phase 7 in Project Area #3. Phase 7 will focus having our vendor COGO 5,150 parcels (237 maps) at a cost of \$15.27 per parcel. The area consisting of 5,150 parcels around Coquille was selected to convert as the next urban area.

The GPS points gathered in Phase 1 from the Fall 04 Grant will be used to establish the control network Coos County needs to begin the seventh phase in Project Area #3. The Contractor will re-map the Assessor's maps using coordinate geometry based on the control network, filed surveys, deeds, etc. The COGO of these 5, 150 parcels will meet the ORMAP Technical Specifications. Assessment will contribute the in-kind match of two Cartographer's time to check the Contractor's work.

Funding Summary:

Coos County is one of the counties that are using an outside contractor to do the remapping work. These counties I suggested taking the biggest cuts, this is with the rational that a sub-contractor is more flexible with the size and scope of project awarded. IDS, the contractor, are also the sub-contract for Coos, Harney, and Lake Counties this funding cycle. The deliverables would be reduced from 5,150 taxlots at \$15.27/taxlot to 2,575 taxlots at \$15.27/taxlot.

Deschutes County

Requested Amount: \$11,276

Outstanding Balance: \$49,627

Suggested Funding: \$0.00

Grant Summary:

Deschutes County is presently conducting a taxlot conversion project to migrate from legacy ESRI ArcInfo Workstation software to ESRI ArcGIS 9.2 software. A major component of the project is the conversion of data from tile-based coverages to countywide feature classes that conform to the ORMAP Parcel Data Model. The project also involves working with the ORMAP ESRI Developers group to develop and deploy ArcGIS data editing and map production tools.

One of the problems we have encountered is in the conversion of our annotation arrows. Currently we maintain annotation arrows in coverage format as annotation with a custom created font that represents arrow direction and type. The ORMAP data model represents arrows as a line feature type in the "Cartographic Lines" feature class. There are no tools available to convert the arrows from annotation to line features and the font used in ArcInfo Workstation cannot be used in ArcInfo Desktop.

This project involves digitizing our existing 64,881 annotation arrows to line features. Once the arrows have been created and other processes are in place, we will be able to produce ORMAP compliant taxmaps using ESRI ArcGIS 9.2 software.

Funding Summary:

After speaking with Deschutes County I felt that by not funding the grant would not keep Deschutes County from maintaining and updating its county data. This is a project that will need to be completed but could probably wait until a later funding cycle. It is noted that by not having this completed it is keep the county from producing taxmaps in ArcGIS 9.2 and the possibility of contracting out some of the county remapping work. This project should be put as a future priority or if funding were to become available.

Harney County

Requested Amount: \$49,147

Outstanding Balance: \$0.00

Suggested Funding: \$32,520

Grant Summary:

Harney County is requesting \$ 46,547 to re-map the townships of 20SR35E (Drewsey), 22S30E, 23S26E, 23S27E, 24SR30E, 24SR32 ½ E (Lawen) and 32S32E. The maps were converted several years ago and do not meet current ORMAP accuracy standards. This Phase will focus on having our vendor COGO 564 parcels (43 maps) at a cost of \$22.25 per parcel. It also includes collecting 35 survey control points in 7 townships at an average cost of \$ 631.43/point.

The Contractor will use software compatible to the Geodatabase ESRI format and the completed data will be returned in the same data structure/format as sent off by Harney County.

The Contractor will re-map the Assessor's maps using coordinate geometry based on the control network, filed surveys, deeds, etc. The COGO of these 564 parcels will meet the ORMAP Technical Specifications. Assessment will contribute the in-kind match of one Cartographer's

time to check the Contractor's work.

The Burns District BLM and Harney County have a long-term data-sharing/cooperative GIS agreement. One of the foundations of the agreement was that the Harney County tax lots were snapped to BLM's GCDB points. In order to maintain that coincidence, the surveys and remapping of the tax lots must lead to an update of the BLM's GCDB locations.

Funding Summary:

Harney County is one of the counties that are using an outside contractor to do the remapping work. These counties I suggested taking the biggest cuts, this is with the rationale that a sub-contractor is more flexible with the size and scope of project awarded. IDS, the contractor, are also the sub-contract for Coos, Harney, and Lake Counties this funding cycle. This is also Harney County's initial pilot project. There was some feeling that this may be too large for a pilot project, even though, Harney County is using a veteran contractor. The deliverables are as follows:

282 taxlots at \$22.25/taxlot
166 scanned plats at \$7/plat
21,775 scanned deeds at \$0.22/deed
30 control points at \$631.43/point
DOR QC of the 282 taxlots at \$4.79/taxlot

Jefferson County

Requested Amount: \$72,000
Suggested Funding: \$35,013

Outstanding Balance: \$0.00

Grant Summary:

The main goal of this request is to gain funding in order to perform a quality control project on our taxlots, associated boundaries and annotation to complete the conversion from coverages to the ORMAP geodatabase. After reviewing our first taxmap with the new geodatabase, we have found a large amount of missing annotation, titles, map discrepancies and the need for spatial adjustments. Upon completion of converting all of the coverages to geodatabase, we will need to confirm that each map is complete and accurate according to ORMAP standards as well as meets the needs of our Assessor. As of right now we are not able to efficiently deliver updated taxmaps to our Assessor and/or other entities that require them. We also anticipate the need to spatially adjust several boundaries, such as the tax code layer, that are associated with the newly adjusted taxlot GDB data.

Funding Summary:

Jefferson County's request was classified as a "large grant request" being over \$60,000. After speaking with Jefferson County we were able to scale back the size of the contract. The original grant was to add the entire county to the geodatabase and correct errors in two funding cycles. At the suggested funding level the county would be completed over four funding cycles. The county would meet ORMAP technical specifications by 2011. The deliverables for this phase of the project would be 15 townships at \$150/township and 3,330 taxlots at \$9.78/taxlot.

Klamath County

Requested Amount: \$100,880
Suggested Funding: \$54,246

Outstanding Balance: \$90,706

Grant Summary:

This begins phase 2 of 9 mapping phases to cover the entire county. All county tax maps produced will be compliant with ORMAP Map Methodology and ORMAP Technical Specifications. This request is for funding to map phase 2 (see Attachment 1) of Klamath County containing 5,228 taxlots and updating areas affected by new control previously mapped.

This grant request also includes funding for the collection of 38 control points (see Attachment 2) identified through a previous grant (Fall 06). The control will be used to build ORMAP compliant taxlots in phases 3 & 4, planned for fall 2008 and spring 2009 grant cycles respectively.

Funding Summary:

Klamath County was classified as having both a large outstanding balance and a large request it was suggested that the contract reduce that amount of taxlots to be completed by over half. This will most likely move the county completion date out to near 2012. The deliverables for this project would now be; 2,619 taxlots at \$14/taxlot, 19 control points at \$700/point, and 87 hours of project oversight at \$50/hr.

Lake County

Requested Amount: \$48,772
Suggested Funding: FULL

Outstanding Balance: \$43,291

Grant Summary:

This grant is to finish the remapping the final 2,329 taxlots and 98 taxmaps, these taxlots are part of 56 townships in North Lake County; which combined with ORMAP contact 1805 will be completed in this time frame. The remapping work will be completed by IDS at a cost of \$20.94/taxlot. DOR Cartography will perform the QA/QC through funds provided in earlier ORMAP contracts #1240 and #1366. The work performed by IDS will be completed no later than July 30, 2008, and final QC by DOR will be finished no later than August 31, 2008.

Funding Summary:

This is to be Lake County's final grant request; they will be at Goal 6 (100% meeting the ORMAP Tech Specs). Therefore, I chose to fund their project fully in order for them to be taken out of future grant request.

Lane County

Requested Amount: \$72,000
Suggested Funding: \$36,025

Outstanding Balance: \$96,460

Grant Summary:

This grant is a request to continue the ORMAP funding of one Lane County Assessment and Taxation Cartographer Tech position for the purpose of map conversion. This position has been funded by ORMAP since spring 2004. The map conversion effort is augmented by fall series ORMAP contracts with Lane County Public Works for map feature conversion. This grant application seeks funds to pay for conversion of map features and map finishing to be done by Lane County Assessment and Taxation cartographers.

Lane A&T is progressing on schedule with the current spring 2007 Cycle ORMAP contract (#1806) for 500 maps by 6/30/2008. As of January 31, 2008, 32% of the county assessor maps, (23% of county taxlots) were produced from an ORMAP ESRI model based GIS system meeting ORMAP technical standards. As of 9/30/2007, 50% of Lane County maps are in GIS maintenance utilizing the ORMAP ESRI editor and map production tool sets. The remainder of the county is maintained by Assessment and Taxation cartographers utilizing AutoCAD software.

ORMAP map conversion deliverables will be augmented by Lane County funded map conversion to meet ORMAP business plan goals.

Funding Summary:

Lane County has a large outstanding ORMAP balance, but they have an excellent record for completing projects on time and on budget. I felt with their outstanding balance, \$96,460, and partial funding they should be able to continue working at present levels. This would probably affect their completion date. The deliverables for this project would now be 275 taxmaps at \$131/taxmap (approx. 7,700 taxlots).

Linn County

Requested Amount: \$60,000
Suggested Funding: \$51,000

Outstanding Amount: \$26,460

Grant Summary:

Linn County is requesting money to hire a temporary survey technician to gather 500 GPS control points scattered around 9 townships. (9S1E, 9S1W, 10S1E, 12S3W, 12S4W, 13S3W, 14S2W, 14S3W, 14S4W, 15S3W). This position will take the successful work currently being done under a spring 2007 ORMAP grant and expand it to cover new areas. The position will be located in the Linn County Surveyors Office and will work under the direction of the Linn County Surveyor. The position will gather mapping control and spot control needed by Linn County's Assessment Cartographers.

Funding Summary:

This project was given a 15% reduction; with this the deliverables would be 440 control points at \$152/point. This is assuming that Linn County will still be contributing the \$16,000 to the project.

Polk County

Requested Amount: \$48,000
Suggested Funding: \$38,000

Outstanding Balance: \$49,500

Grant Summary:

Polk County is seeking \$48,000 to create approximately 1,600 taxlots that meet the ORMAP Technical Specifications in SE Dallas. Polk County will be providing matching funds of \$10,000 for a project total of \$58,000. The project builds on the completed pilot project, NE project and the SE project currently under way.

Funding Summary:

After speaking to the county they agreed they could take a \$10,000 reduction and still be able to continue work on the project. This project provides funding to a temporary Polk County employee. The deliverables for this project would now be 1,266 taxlots at \$30/taxlots; this is also assuming that Polk County will contribute \$10,000.

Umatilla County

Requested Amount: \$4,200

Outstanding Balance: \$0.00

Suggested Funding: \$0.00

Grant Summary:

Integrated Desktop Solutions (IDS) initially developed the Plotting Wizard for GeoMedia for internal use on ORMAP conversion projects. The Plotting Wizard was written in Visual Basic and stores information about each map in a database including the map name, scale, and title, cancelled taxlot numbers, and surrounding map names. It was designed to meet the ORMAP standards with an easy to use graphics user interface. The user first selects a scale, and then the wizard provides a list of map names for the selected scale. The user next selects one or more maps from the list and hits OK. The program then creates layout sheets for each select map which are ready for plotting.

Before providing the Plotting Wizard to the counties for use additional programming is needed to provide error trapping. This would eliminate the potential frustration and lost productivity if the county staff uses the wizard in its current condition. IDS have staff that can debug the software when errors are encountered so our productivity loss is minimized. The county doesn't have this expertise.

Funding Summary:

After speaking with Umatilla County I felt that this is a project that will need to be completed to help them "streamline" their county map production, but was not a priority at this time. They will be able to see future funding for this project.

Washington County

Requested Amount: \$56,900

Outstanding Balance: \$21,743

Suggested Funding: \$48,365

Grant Summary:

This project will continue to support our cartography staff overtime and fund a GIS Intern in the completion of assessor maps. All GIS data has been and will continue to be created in ESRI software and is directly portable to all exchange files supported by ESRI GIS software. It will meet the current ORMAP Technical Specifications.

As outlined in our business plan our goal for this contract is to convert and deliver 3500 taxlots.

Funding Summary:

This project was given a 15% reduction. The deliverables for the project would now be 29,976 taxlots at \$15.25/taxlot.

Spring 2008 ORMAP Grant Application Modification Suggestions

COUNTY	Current Requests	Suggested Changes	15% reduction	17% reduction	20% reduction	Modified Changes
Benton	\$52,400.00	\$31,560.00	\$44,540.00	\$43,492.00	\$41,920.00	\$44,540.00
Clackamas	\$25,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$21,250.00	\$20,750.00	\$20,000.00	\$10,000.00
Clatsop	\$15,264.00	\$15,264.00	\$12,974.40	\$12,669.12	\$12,211.20	\$15,264.00
Coos	\$81,640.00	\$39,320.00	\$69,394.00	\$67,761.20	\$65,312.00	\$39,320.00
Deschutes	\$11,276.00	\$11,276.00	\$9,584.60	\$9,359.08	\$9,020.80	\$0.00
Harney	\$49,247.00	\$49,247.00	\$41,859.95	\$40,875.01	\$39,397.60	\$32,520.68
Jefferson	\$70,000.00	\$35,013.00	\$59,500.00	\$58,100.00	\$56,000.00	\$35,013.00
Klamath	\$100,880.00	\$54,246.00	\$85,748.00	\$83,730.40	\$80,704.00	\$54,246.00
Lake	\$48,772.00	\$48,772.00	\$41,456.20	\$40,480.76	\$39,017.60	\$48,772.00
Lane	\$72,000.00	\$36,025.00	\$61,200.00	\$59,760.00	\$57,600.00	\$36,025.00
Linn	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$51,000.00	\$49,800.00	\$48,000.00	\$51,000.00
Polk	\$48,000.00	\$24,000.00	\$40,800.00	\$39,840.00	\$38,400.00	\$38,000.00
Umatilla	\$4,200.00	\$4,200.00	\$3,570.00	\$3,486.00	\$3,360.00	\$0.00
Washington	\$56,900.00	\$56,900.00	\$48,365.00	\$47,227.00	\$45,520.00	\$48,365.00
Total Requested	\$695,579.00	\$475,823.00	\$591,242.15	\$577,330.57	\$556,463.20	\$453,065.68

(\$11,810.81)
(\$127,229.96)
(\$113,318.38)
(\$92,451.01)
\$10,946.51

Projected Fund Balance **\$464,012.19**

ORMAP Funding Criteria

Revised: 11/21/2006

Requests from Oregon Counties for ORMAP grant funds generally exceed the available fund balance of future ORMAP funding cycles. Because of limited funding, it is necessary that all grant requests meet certain criteria in order to provide a fair and equitable distribution of ORMAP funds.

The ORMAP program, administered by the Oregon Department of Revenue (DOR), created this document to communicate the criteria used to prioritize grant requests for ORMAP funds. ORMAP staff review the funding criteria and the priorities on a regular basis, modifications of each may occur based on either the fund balance or changes in the ORMAP goals.

Process

The first step in prioritizing grants occurs during an initial review by the department's ORMAP Coordinator. The county's proposal must meet all of the following ORMAP criteria:

1. Counties must conduct a successful pilot project or demonstrate a successful process.
(*pass/fail*)
2. Counties must maintain an online business plan. (*pass/fail*)
3. Counties must not have outstanding ORMAP fund balances or must demonstrate that outstanding funds will be used by project deadlines. (*pass/fail*)
4. The county must have a data sharing agreement in place with the Department of Revenue.
(*pass/fail*)

County grant proposals that meet all of the ORMAP criteria listed above are weighted as follows:

Project Type

- a. County edge matching projects – 5 pts
- b. Ongoing projects (as defined in county business plan) – 2 pts
- c. Counties that have completed a low percentage of tax lots that meet the ORMAP Technical Specifications – 2 pts
- d. Counties that are close to meeting the ORMAP Technical Specifications countywide – 2 pts

Technical Priority

- a. Tax lot/tax map improvements or mapping control development – 3 pts
- b. Tax lot and assessor database connections – 2 pts
- c. Software/hardware projects (including enhancements) – 1 pt

Policy Priority

- a. Projects that demonstrate multi-county efforts – 5 pts
- b. Grants demonstrating funding partnerships – 5 pts
- c. Grants that will cost significantly more if not funded in the current cycle – 3 pts

When the county grant request passes all the initial criteria and weighting, the ORMAP Technical Group reviews the proposal. This process is a thorough peer review of the application to ensure it:

- is technically sound;
- uses the most cost effective methodology;
- pursues ORMAP goals;
- has reasonable and measurable deliverables; and
- has a realistic timeline

When the grant request receives approval based on the criteria, weighting, and the Technical Group review, the department considers the funding request for the project. As stated in OAR 150-306.132 and 150-306.135, the Director of the Oregon Department of Revenue ultimately retains authority for the distribution of ORMAP funds.

Full funding for smaller grants may occur when the project is of priority, the ORMAP fund is healthy and the funds are available. Smaller grant means that the dollar amount requested falls within the bottom one-third of grant dollars requested. The department may also fully fund projects where the grant proposal shows that partial funding is unfeasible for the project or that there is a loss of cost savings or efficiencies to do otherwise.

Attachment D

COUNTY	Outstanding Grant Amounts	Reverted Funds	Spring 2008	
			Grant Application	Hardware/Software
Baker	\$0.00	\$16,438.52		
Benton	\$50,000.00	\$8,200.00	\$52,400.00	
Clackamas	\$87,228.00	\$5,600.00	\$25,000.00	
Clatsop	\$9,600.00	\$8,203.15	\$15,264.00	
Columbia	\$61,989.27	\$26,534.61		
Coos	\$60,104.42	\$699.02	\$81,640.00	
Crook	\$119,160.00	\$780.00		
Curry	\$43,227.00	\$53,958.35		
Deschutes	\$49,627.19	\$25,664.05	\$11,276.00	
Douglas	\$0.00	\$57,300.77		
Gilliam	\$0.00	\$979.59		
Grant	\$0.00	\$9,631.50		
Harney	\$0.00		\$49,247.00	
Hood River	\$0.00	\$4,447.25		
Jackson	\$137,454.22	\$68,222.90	\$0.00	
Jefferson	\$0.00	\$3,360.00	\$70,000.00	
Josephine	\$145,000.63	\$1.00		\$12,400.00
Klamath	\$76,636.00	\$35,167.88	\$100,880.00	
Lake	\$16,592.50	\$9,293.22	\$48,772.00	
Lane	\$96,460.00	\$45,228.31	\$72,000.00	
Lincoln	\$9,000.00			
Linn	\$31,460.00	\$67,059.12	\$60,000.00	\$5,000.00
Malheur	\$0.00	\$1,200.00		
Marion	\$39,686.98	\$5,083.99		
Morrow	\$66,950.00	\$2,693.20		
Multnomah	\$99,006.10	\$9,871.28		
Polk	\$4,000.00	\$15,820.00	\$48,000.00	
Sherman	\$0.00	\$3,865.60		
Tillamook	\$44,994.00	\$96,334.00		
Umatilla	\$0.00	\$652.38	\$4,200.00	
Union	\$0.00	\$119.71		
Wallowa	\$0.00	\$1,520.68		
Wasco	\$0.00			
Washington	\$21,743.19	\$87,002.40	\$56,900.00	
Wheeler	\$0.00	\$8,676.00		
Yamhill	\$29,900.00	\$23,180.39		
ESRI Parcel Model	\$0.00			
Totals	\$1,299,819.50	\$702,788.87	<i>\$695,579.00</i>	<i>\$17,400.00</i>
Total Requested			<i>\$712,979.00</i>	

Attachment E

2007- 2009 ORMAP Quarterly Fund Balance

revised: 4/3/2008

Quarter Ending	CAFFA Deposits to OLIS (+)	Contract Reversions (+)	Admin Expenses (-)	Net Fund Deposit (=)	Project Grants	Ending Fund Balance
2007-June	\$287,909.29	\$44,981.00	\$21,218.00	\$311,672.29	\$702,857.00	\$389,899.38
2007-Sept	\$258,925.34	\$156,860.29	\$18,483.00	\$397,302.63	\$740,350.00	\$46,852.01
2007-Dec	\$221,806.18	\$23,966.00	\$16,812.00	\$228,960.18	\$17,400.00	\$258,412.19
2008-Mar	<i>\$222,600.00</i>		<i>\$17,000.00</i>	<i>\$205,600.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	\$464,012.19
2008-June	<i>\$265,000.00</i>		<i>\$17,000.00</i>	<i>\$248,000.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	\$712,012.19
2008-Sept	<i>\$265,000.00</i>		<i>\$20,000.00</i>	<i>\$245,000.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	\$957,012.19
2008-Dec	<i>\$265,000.00</i>		<i>\$20,000.00</i>	<i>\$245,000.00</i>	<i>\$747,094.00</i>	\$454,918.19
2009-Mar	<i>\$265,000.00</i>		<i>\$20,000.00</i>	<i>\$245,000.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	\$699,918.19
2009-June	<i>\$265,000.00</i>		<i>\$20,000.00</i>	<i>\$245,000.00</i>	<i>\$754,130.00</i>	\$190,788.19
Totals	\$2,028,331.52	\$180,826.29	\$149,295.00	\$2,059,862.81	\$2,258,974.00	

italics are an estimated amount

ORMAP Fund Report
Quarter ending December 31, 2007

Attachment F

Region/County	Grants approved since inception				
	Discretionary	Regional	Mixed	Total Awarded	Total Reverted
Crook*	80,606.00	21,399.00	181,710.00	283,715.00	675.00
Deschutes	82,600.00	105,565.00	123,326.00	311,491.00	25,664.05
Jefferson		16,748.00		16,748.00	3,360.00
CENTRAL	163,206.00	143,712.00	305,036.00	611,954.00	29,699.05
Benton	24,496.00	10,400.00	140,000.00	174,896.00	8,200.00
Lincoln	42,000.00	16,215.00	27,000.00	85,215.00	0.00
Linn	30,640.00	30,000.00	141,400.00	202,040.00	67,059.12
Marion		40,000.00	59,530.00	99,530.00	5,083.99
Polk	102,700.00	39,350.00	129,900.00	271,950.00	15,820.00
Yamhill	125,715.00	31,900.00	118,820.00	276,435.00	23,180.39
MID-WEST	325,551.00	167,865.00	616,650.00	1,110,066.00	119,343.50
Gilliam*	7,106.00		1,500.00	8,606.00	979.59
Hood River*	28,488.00	9,512.00	3,375.00	41,375.00	4,447.25
Sherman*	16,500.00	12,700.00	72,320.00	101,520.00	3,865.60
Wasco*				0.00	
Wheeler*	39,925.00	52,250.00		92,175.00	8,676.00
N. CENTRAL	92,019.00	74,462.00	77,195.00	243,676.00	17,968.44
Baker*	41,000.00	27,700.00	42,975.00	111,675.00	16,438.52
Morrow*		13,410.00	71,400.00	84,810.00	2,693.20
Umatilla	303,124.00	51,876.00	255,350.00	610,350.00	652.38
Union*	76,445.00	10,000.00	6,093.00	92,538.00	119.71
Wallowa*	50,420.00	30,931.10	12,080.00	93,431.10	1,520.68
NORTH EAST	470,989.00	133,917.10	387,898.00	992,804.10	21,424.49
Clatsop	60,400.00	14,500.00	63,020.00	137,920.00	8,203.15
Columbia	332,079.00	42,053.00	400,181.00	774,313.00	26,534.61
Tillamook	205,767.00	36,653.35	120,953.00	363,373.35	96,334.00
NORTH WEST	598,246.00	93,206.35	584,154.00	1,275,606.35	131,071.76
Clackamas	35,175.00	185,145.62	203,900.00	424,220.62	11,850.02
Multnomah	64,500.00	308,465.21	219,022.00	591,987.21	0.80
Washington	75,453.00	123,972.00	175,842.00	375,267.00	87,002.40
R-MAP	175,128.00	617,582.83	598,764.00	1,391,474.83	98,853.22
Grant*		6,065.00	27,855.00	33,920.00	9,631.50
Harney	21,920.00	3,000.00	5,000.00	29,920.00	
Malheur*	50,000.00	10,500.00	51,528.00	112,028.00	1,200.00
SOUTH EAST	71,920.00	19,565.00	84,383.00	175,868.00	10,831.50
Coos	77,573.00	50,371.00	344,552.00	472,496.00	699.02
Curry*	268,104.00	55,488.36	210,302.00	533,894.36	53,958.35
Douglas	33,696.00	76,494.00		110,190.00	57,300.77
Jackson	135,700.00	100,190.00	156,114.22	392,004.22	68,222.90
Josephine	22,290.25		229,769.00	252,059.25	1.00
Lane	82,854.00	137,900.00	407,925.00	628,679.00	45,228.31
SOUTH WEST	620,217.25	420,443.36	1,348,662.22	2,389,322.83	225,410.35
Klamath		45,200.00	216,916.00	262,116.00	35,167.88
Lake*	104,772.00	28,310.00	127,648.00	260,730.00	9,293.22
S. CENTRAL	104,772.00	73,510.00	344,564.00	522,846.00	44,461.10
ESRI			30,000.00	30,000.00	
Goal 1/DAS	63,099.00			63,099.00	200.00
MISCELLANEOUS	63,099.00	0.00	30,000.00	93,099.00	200.00
TOTALS	2,685,147.25	1,744,263.64	4,377,306.22	8,806,717.11	699,263.41

Funds available to distribute: **274,891.19**

*Maintenance counties

ORMAP Fund Totals
Quarter ending December 31, 2007

Beginning Balance as of October 1, 2007	\$55,802.01
Quarterly Deposits	\$221,806.18
Contract Reversions	\$14,095.00
Total Deposits into Fund	\$291,703.19

Department of Revenue Administrative Expenses	\$16,812.00
Funded Projects	\$0.00
Total Withdrawals from Fund	\$16,812.00

Total ORMAP Fund as of December 31, 2007 **\$274,891.19**
 (Deposits minus Withdrawals)

Contract Reversions

Contract No.	County	Reverted
1524	Clackamas	11,850.00
1800	Klamath	2,245.00

Funded Projects

Contract No.	County	Funded